Use of Spatial Filters for Improved Detection of Glaucomatous Visual Field Progression

Yan Li¹, Runjie Bill Shi^{2, 3}, Willy Wong^{1, 3}, Yvonne Buys⁴, Graham Trope⁴, Moshe Eizenman⁴

1. Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering; 2. Temerty Faculty of Medicine; 3. Institute of Biomedical Engineering; 4. Department of Ophthalmology & Vision Sciences University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Purpose

- Accurate detection of VF progression remains challenging due to the inherent test-retest variability in VF measurements.
- This research investigates the use of spatial correlation filters to reduce the influence of test variability on the detection of VF progression.
- A novel simulation method for longitudinal VF measurements was proposed to support the performance evaluation.

Methods: Simulation of Longitudinal VF

- Simulation provides the "ground-truth" status of VF progression and thus facilitates quantitative performance evaluations.
- 500 stable and 500 progressing VF sequences (15 tests/sequence, 6 months apart) were generated with controlled progression rates based on 500 real VF tests from 500 glaucoma patients (Figure 1).
- The statistical properties and baseline defect patterns of real VF tests were used to ensure the simulated data were similar to real fields.



Figure 1. A simulated VF sequence with moderate arcuate baseline defects and the mean deviation deteriorated at -0.5 dB/year

Methods: Evaluation of VF Spatial Filter

- Trend-based VF progression analysis: global, pointwise, and regional trends.
- Tune the criteria of VF progression to match the detection specificity of three methods at 95% using the simulated stable VF sequences.
- Compare the time to detect 80% of the progressing eyes with and without using the spatial filter.
- Compare the detection agreements between three trend-based progression analysis methods with and without using the spatial filter.

Methods: VF Spatial Correlation Filter

- Glaucomatous damages typically manifest in localized areas at the optic disc.
- VF measurements at different locations are correlated by:
 - 1. Anatomical distance: the angular distance that the retinal nerve fiber layer bundles supporting two VF points enter the optic disc, in Garway-Heath angle [1]. VF test points with shorter anatomical distances exhibit higher correlations.
 - 2. Spatial distance: the Euclidean angular distance between points on the VF testing grid.
- VF spatial correlation model [2]:

$$corr_{pq} = \begin{cases} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dist_{pq}^2}{\delta_d^2} + \frac{\zeta_{pq}^2}{\delta_{\zeta}^2}\right)) & \text{If p and q are} \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $corr_{pq}$ is the correlation between VF test points p and q, \angle_{pq} and $dist_{pq}$ represent the difference of Garway-Heath angles and the Euclidean distance between p and q, and $\delta_d = 6$, $\delta_{\prime} = 14$, see [2] for details.

- The filtered sensitivity at each test point equals to the weighted average of the sensitivities at all other points.
- The weights are determined by the correlation coefficients.

[1] Garway-Heath, David F., et al. "Mapping the visual field to the optic disc in normal tension gla [2] Zhu, Haogang, et al. "Detecting changes in retinal function: analysis with non-static

Conclusions

- used as a validation tool to verify the clinical VF progression analysis results.



A simulated progressing VF sequence with MD deteriorated at -0.3 dB/year

🗰 The Edward S. Rogers Sr. Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



e in the same hemifield

Results

• Time to detect 80% of progressing eyes with 95% specificity and the mean MD progression rate at -0.5 dB/year (unit: years)

Methods	Time to detect w/o filter: (mean ± SD)	Time to detect w/ filter: (mean±SD)	T-test p-value
Global	4.10 ± 0.26	4.05 ± 0.22	0.570
Regional	4.05 ± 0.15	3.61 ± 0.18	<i>p</i> < 0.001
Pointwise	3.90 ± 0.22	3.00 ± 0.21	<i>p</i> < 0.001

• Detection agreements (Fleiss's kappa) between three trend-based methods with the mean MD progression rate at -0.5 dB/year

Year from baseline	Detection agreements without spatial filter (mean \pm SD)	Detection agreements with spatial filter (mean ± SD)	T-test p-values
2	0.37 ± 0.03	0.55 ± 0.02	<i>p</i> < 0.001
3	0.65 ± 0.03	0.73 ± 0.01	<i>p</i> < 0.001
5	0.80 ± 0.01	0.85 ± 0.01	<i>p</i> < 0.001

Interpretation of agreement: Poor: k < 0.2, Fair: $0.21 \le k \le 0.4$, Moderate: $0.41 \le k \le 0.6$, Good: $0.61 \le k \le 0.8$, Almost perfect: $0.81 \le k \le 1.0$

The spatial filter that uses anatomical and spatial distances of VF test points improves the time to detect 80% of VF progression for pointwise and regional trends analysis, whereas the time-to-detect for the global trend method remained the same.

The VF spatial filter can improve the detection agreements between three trend-based methods at different follow-up time points, hence can be

illustrates correlation coefficients for the current location, the darker color means the stronger correlation

The spatial filter can smooth localized noise while not affecting the MD values.