

# Systematic Review of the Impact of Socioeconomic Status on **Presenting Severity of Glaucoma: A Global Perspective**

#### Isra M. Hussein<sup>1</sup>, Shahnaza Hamidullah<sup>2</sup>, Myrna Lichter<sup>3</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <sup>2</sup>Schulich School of Medicine annd Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada MEDICINE & DENTIST <sup>3</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, St Michael's Hospital Health Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada **3. Summary of Findings: Results** 4 1. Summary of Included Studies: **SES Measure** Association with late 24 presentation of glaucoma 2398 studies imported for screening (Ng et al., 2010; Sukumar et al., 2009; Day **Area-Based Indices\*** 23 2010) (Buys et al., 2013; Sung et al., 2017;; Daay Income 1 1408 studies screened 2010) (Ayub et al., 2021) 34 full-text studies assessed for eligibility \* Education (Abdull et al., 2015; Eissa et al., 2016; al., 2001; Gogate et al., 2011; Fraser et al., 16 studies included et al., 2016; Odayappan et al., 2021) 2. Risk of Bias Assessment (Duke et al., 2013)) Rating (Abdull et al., 2015; Gogate et al. 2011; Occupation Ntim-Ammponsah et al., 2005; Odaavappan et I., 2021; Riva et al., 2018) (Duke et al., 2013) Positive associatio **Conclusions** Most studies showed that low SES correlates with more advanced glaucoma at first diagnosis, highlighting the need for strategies for earlier detection in individuals with low SES. Additional longitudinal prospective studies are needed to validate current findings and better characterize the effects of SES on the disease course of glaucoma. References 1. Chen, P. P. (2004). Risk and risk factors for blindness from glaucoma. Curr Opin Ophthalmol, 15(2), 107-111. doi:10.1097/00055735-200404000-00009 2. Varma, R., Lee, P. P., Goldberg, I., & Kotak, S. (2011). An assessment of the health and economic d burdens of glaucoma. Am J Ophthalmol, 152(4), 515-522. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2011.06.004 3. Buyss, Y. M., Jin, Y. P., & Canadian Glaucoma Risk Factor Study, G. (2013). Socioeconomic status as a risk factor for late presentation of glaucoma in Canada. Can J Ophthalmol, 48(2), 83-87. doi:10.1016/j.jcjo.2012.10.003 d 4. Fraser, S., Bunce, C., Wormald, R., & Brunner, E. (2001). Deprivation and late presentation of glaucoma: case-control study. BMJ, 322(7287), 639-643. doi:10.1136/bmj.322.7287.63 5. Sukumar, S., Spencer, F., Fenerty, C., Harper, R., & Henson, D. (2009). The influence of socioeconomic and clinical factors upon the presenting visual field status of patients with glaucoma. Eye (Lond), 23(5),

1038-1044. doi:10.1038/eye.2008.245

## **Background**

Late presentation of glaucoma can lead to irreversible vision loss, making early diagnosis a primary goal in the management of the disease<sup>1,2</sup>. Therefore, identifying factors that contribute to late presentation of glaucoma can aid in the development of public health measures to help ease the burden of disease. Evidence suggests that socioeconomic status (SES) is an important predictor of late presentation of glaucoma, potentially contributing to more severe disease and poor prognosis  $^{3,4,5}$ .

#### **Objectives**

The primary objective of this study is to systematically assess the impact of socioeconomic status on the presenting severity of glaucoma.

### **Methods**

1. We searched PubMed, MEDLINE, and Embase for glaucoma studies published before November 10, 2021. Studies were included based on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria	<b>Exclusion Criteria</b>
Adult patients $\geq 18$ years of age	Pediatric patients $\leq$ 18 years of age,
Studies reporting on socioeconomic status and the presenting severity of glaucoma	Studies reporting on other ophthalmic conditions
Studies available in English language	Studies not reporting on socioeconomic status, new onset glaucoma, and/or severity of glaucoma
Randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective cohort studies, and case-control studies	Studies not available in English
	Editorials, opinion pieces, letters, textbook chapters, and new articles.

2. Risk of bias was assessed using the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's (NHLBI) quality assessment tools according to the study design.



Study	Quality 1
Abdull et al., 2015	Goo
Adekoya et al., 2014	Fai
Ayub et al., 2021	Goo
Buys et al., 2013	Goo
Day et al., 2010	Goo
Duke et al., 2013	Goo
Henson et al., 2009	Goo
Ng et al., 2009	Goo
Eissa et al., 2016	Goo
Giorgis et al., 2012	Fai
Odayappan et al. 2021	Goo
Riva et al. 2018	Goo
Sung et al. 2017	Goo
Kyari et al., 2016	Fai
Fraser et al., 2001	Goo
Gogate et al., 2011	Fai
Ntim-Amponsah et al. 2005	Fai