## Kensington Health Intracameral Antibiotics for Endophthalmitis Prophylaxis in Cataract Surgery: A Meta-Analysis

Mariam Issa MD(C)<sup>1</sup>, Fahmeeda Murtaza MD(C)<sup>1</sup>, Marko M. Popovic MD MPH(C)<sup>2</sup>, Amandeep Rai MD<sup>2</sup>, Matthew B. Schlenker MD MSc<sup>2</sup>, Sherif El-Defrawy PhD, MD<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Vision Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; <sup>3</sup> Kensington Eye Institute, Toronto, Canada.

## Introduction

Postoperative endophthalmitis (POE) following cataract surgery is a rare but vision-threatening complication. Despite numerous studies, there is no consensus on the use of IC antibiotics for POE prophylaxis. In this study, we aimed to comprehensively synthesize all available evidence on the efficacy of IC antibiotics for POE prophylaxis in cataract surgery.

# **Methods**

MEDLINE, Embase and Cochrane Library were systematically searched (inception-April 2021) to identify studies on the risk of POE following cataract surgery in eyes that were treated with and without prophylactic IC antibiotics.



Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart summarizing the process for identifying eligible studies.



#### **Risk of POE with use of IC antibiotics**

The cumulative incidence of POE was 0.068%, with a mean duration of  $5.5 \pm 1.1$  days from cataract surgery to presentation.

The incidence of POE was significantly lower in eyes with IC antibiotics compared to those treated without (p<0.001, Figure 1, Table 1).



Figure 2: RRs and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to compare the POE incidence in eyes treated with and without IC antibiotics. Similar analysis was preformed for eyes treated with and without IC antibiotics during phacoemulsification cataract surgery.

### Efficacy of other peri-operative antibiotics

IC antibiotics reduce the risk of POE following cataract surgery, regardless of the The risk of POE was significantly lower in eyes treated with IC and post-operative topical agent selected. The overall incidence of endophthalmitis was low in both IC and antibiotics compared to eyes treated with IC antibiotic alone (RR: 0.66, CI: [0.51, 0.86], non-IC groups. p<0.01).

There was no statistically significant difference eyes treated with IC and pre-operative topical Further studies are needed to compare efficacy between the different IC antibiotics compared to eyes treated with IC antibiotic) alone (RR: 0.80, CI [0.57, 1.12], p>0.05. antibiotics and to identify adverse events associated with IC antibiotics.



#### Table 2: Summary of findings table; Intracameral (IC) Antibiotics Compared to **Risk Ratio Control for Endophthalmitis Prophylaxis Following Cataract Surgery** M-H, Random, 95%Cl 0.04 [0.00,0.26] Anticipated absolute effects\* (95% CI) 0.01 [0.00,0.23] Relative Nº of Certainty of 0.61 [0.55,0.67] Outcomes effect participants the evidence Risk with Control **Risk with IC antibiotics** 0.22 [0.08,0.57] (95% CI) (studies) (GRADE) 0.24 [0.16,0.37 0.12 [0.04,0.33] 0.56 [0.32,0.96] 0.38 [0.19,0.75 **Risk of POE in** 3212269 0.14 [0.07,0.29 RR 0.18 $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ the overall IC (13 0.19 [0.09,0.40 2 per 10,000 (1 to 3) 10 per 10,000 (0.11 to 0.12 [0.05,0.31 cefuroxime observational VERY LOW 0.31) 0.07 [0.03,0.17 studies, 1 RCT) group 0.70 [0.29,1.72] 0.03 [0.01,0.08] 0.18 [0.11,0.31] **Risk of POE in** 2336083 RR 0.31 $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ the overall IC 0.22 [0.01,5.34] (4 7 per 10,000 (0.15 to 0.25 [0.21,0.29] 2 per 10,000 (1 to 4) moxifloxacin observational LOW 0.61) 0.67 [0.36,1.27 studies, 1 RCT) group 0.14 [0.02,1.16] 0.12 [0.01,0.95] 0.31 [0.15,0.61] **Risk of POE in** 52172 RR 0.08 $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ the IC (3 0.02 [0.00,0.18] 20 per 10,000 (0.03 to 2 per 10,000 (1 to 4) observational LOW vancomycin 0.11 [0.04,0.31] 0.20) 0.05 [0.00,0.89] subgroup studies) 0.08 [0.03,0.20] 81104 RR 0.06 **Risk of POE in** 3 per 10,000 0.05 [0.03,0.11] $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ (2 the IC cefazolin 57 per 10,000 (0.04 to 0.08 [0.04,0.18] (2 to 6) observational LOW 0.06 [0.04,0.11] 0.11) subgroup studies) 0.04 [0.00,0.26] Sensitivity 0.01 [0.00,0.23] 0.22 [0.08,0.57 analysis: Risk of 3364921 0.56 [0.32,0.96] RR 0.13 POE with the (9 $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 1 per 10,000 0.38 [0.19,0.75 data from 11 per 10,000 observational (0.08 to 0.14 [0.07,0.29 (1 to 2) VERY LOW studies, 2 developing 0.21) 0.19 [0.09,0.40 0.03 [0.01,0.08] RCTs) countries 0.14 [0.07,0.31] excluded 0.22 [0.01,5.34] Gram positive bacteria were more prevalent in 0.67 [0.36,1.27] POE cases treated without IC antibiotics. Gram 0.14 [0.02,1.16] $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 1280 negative bacteria were more prevalent in cases **Culture analysis** 0.12 [0.01,0.95] (12 studies) LOW treated with IC antibiotics 0.34 [0.13,0.94] 1.25 Reported risk factors: older age, male, non-Favours [No IC Antibiotic] phacoemulsification cataract surgery, silicone IOL as opposed to acrylic IOL, clear corneal **Risk factors for** $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ incision as compared to scleral tunnel, large (7 studies) POE VERY LOW incision size and posterior capsule rupture.

Conclusions